October 24, 1983

Distribution: Docket No. 50-320 NRC PDR Local PDR DCS TMI Site R/F TMI HQ R/F BJSnyder LBarrett TPoindexter Mtlasnik RWeller PGrant LChandler, ELD I&E (5) TBarnhart (4) LSchneider ACRS (16)

OPA

RDiggs HRDenton/Case RLazo, ASLAP ARosenthal, ASLAB

USGPO: 1881-335-860

Docket No. 50-320

. 7

Hr. B. K. Kanga, Director Three Hile Island Unit 2 GPU Nuclear Corporation P.O. Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057

Dear Mr. Kanga:

311020487 (DR ADOCK 0

NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0249

Subject: Three Hile Island Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 Docket No. 50-320 License No. DPR-73 Settlement of Lochstet Stipulation and Amendment of Order

On Narch 14, 1983, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board approved the "Joint Notion to Approve the Stipulation Regarding Settlement of Lochstet Contentions." Therefore, as agreed in the settlement of the Lochstet Contentions, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment of Order for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2.

This amendment requires two source range neutron monitoring channels to be operable while fuel is in the reactor and modifies the action requirements to be taken in the event the one intermediate range neutron monitor becomes inoperable. This amendment is effective 30 days from issuance of the Amendment of Order.

Copies of the revised pages for the proposed Technical Specifications and related Safety Evaluation are enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

B. J. Sn. ...

Bernard J. Snyder, Program Director Three Mile Island Program Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

	Enclosures	: As stated					
	(se	rne rson ce Distributi e attached)		*SEE PREVI	IOUS CONCURREI	NCE	
OFFICE	TCPoindexter	IMIPO:NRR	PD: THEPS NRR	* ELD	DA: NRR	D:NRR	
SIRNAME h	TCPoindexter	;bg RAweller	BJSnyder	LChandler	TECCase	HRDEnton	••••••
DATE &	10/ 19 783	107/9/83	10/ 9/83	8/3/83	10/~~83	1044 /83	**********************
DATES	****************			•••••	****************		******

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Dr. Thomas Murley REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR: REGION 1 U.S. WUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION S1 PARE AVE. EINE OF PRUSSIA. PA. 19466

JOHN F. HOLF. ESG., CHAIRRAM. ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE 3409 SHEPHERD ST. CHEVY CHASE, MD. 20015

> DR. ORCAR M. PARIS ADMIMISTRATIVE JUDGE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING DOARD PANEL U.S. MUCLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION MASMINGTON, D.C. 20555

> DR. FREDERICE J. SHOM ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICINSING BOAND PANEL U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION MASHINGTON, B.C. 20335

EARIN N. CARTER Assistant Attorney Simeral Ses Executive House P.O. Box 2357 Narrisburg, PA 17120

DR. JUDITH W. JOHNSRUD ENVIDOMMENTAL COALITION ON NUCLEAR POWER 433 ORLANDO AVE. STATE COLLEDE. PA 16881

EEGREE F. TROWBRIDGE, ESG. SHAM, PITTHAN, POTTS AND TROWBRIDGE 1800 M. ST., NM. Mashington, DC 20036

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PAMEL U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION MASHINGTON, DC 20555

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL PANEL U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION MASHINGTON. DC 20555

SECRETARY U.S. MUCLEAR REBULATORY COMMISSION ATTM: CHIEF, DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH MASHIMETON, DC 20555

RE. LAREY NOCHENDONES DAUPHIN COUNTY COMMISSIONES P.O. BOX 1275 MARRISBURS, PA 17188-1275

JONN E. MINNICH. CHAIRPERSON. Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courtnouse front and market streets Marisburg. PA 17181

DAUPWIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDMESS COURT MOUSE, POOM 7 FROMT & MARKET STREETS MARRISBURE, PA 17101

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ASENCY REGION III OFFICE ATTN: EIS COORDINATCR Curtis Building (Sixtn Floor) 6th & Malmut Streets Philadelphia. PA 19196

THOMAS R. SERUSIV DIRECTOR BUREAU OF RADIATION PROTECTION Department of environmental resources P.O. Boi 2003 NAREISBURE. PA 17120

DAVID MESS OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING Department of Environmental Resources P.D. Box 2003 Marrisburg. PA 17120 MILLIS BIXDY, SITE MAMAGER U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EMERGY P.O. BOX 64 MIDDLETOMM, PA 17057-0311

DAVID J. NCEOFF Division of Three Hile Island Programs NE-23 U.B. Department of Energy Marmington, DC. 20543

WILLIAM LOCHSTET 196 Baver Laboratory Pennsylvania state university University Pare. Pa 16882

RANDY MYERS, EDITORIAL THE PATRIOT 812 MARKET ST. MARRISDURG, PA 17185

EGSERT B. BORSUM BABCOCE & MILCOX MUCLEAR POMER GENERATION BIVISION SUITE 220 7916 MOODMONT AVE. BETWEEDA. ND. 20814

JUDITH A. BORSEY 1315 MALMUT STREET SUITE 1632 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19187

LINDA W. LITTLE Sees Hermitage Dr. Balgigh. MC 27612

MARVIN I. LENIS 6304 BRADFORD TERRACE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19149

JAHE LEE 183 VALLEY 2D. ETTERS, PA 17319

-

J.B. LIBERRAN, ESQUIRE BERLACE, ISEAELS, LIBERRAM 26 BEGADMAY MEN YORE, NY 18884

MALTER W. COMEN. COMSUMER ADVOCATE Department of JUSTICE Stramberry Square, 14th Floor Martisburg, PA 17127

EDMARD 0. SMARTZ BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LONDONDERRY TOMMEN IP RFD 01 GEVELS CHURCH RD. RIDDLETOMM. PA 17057

ROBERT L. EMUPP. ESQUIRE ASSISTANT SOLICITOR EMUPP AND ANDREWS P.O. BOX P 407 N. FROMT ST. HARRISBURG, PA 17188

JONN LEVIN. ESQUIRE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMM. P.O. BOX 3265 NARRISBURG. PA 17120

NONGRABLE MARK COMEN 512 E-E MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING Narrisburg, PA 17120

In the Matter of METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, <u>et al</u>. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2)

311020

Docket No. 50-320 OLA

AMENDMENT OF ORDER

1.

General Public Utlities Nuclear Corporation, Metropolitan Edison Company, Jersey Central Power and Light Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (collectively, the Licensee) are the holders of Facility Operating License No. DPR-73, which had authorized operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (TMI-2) at power levels up to 2772 megawatts thermal. The facility, which is located in Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, is a pressurized water reactor previously used for the commercial generation of electricity.

By Order for Modification of License, dated July 20, 1979, the Licensee's authority to operate the facility was suspended and the Licensee's authority was limited to maintenance of the facility in the present shutdown cooling mode, 44 Fed. Reg. 45271 (August 1, 1979). By further Order of the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated February 11, 1980, a new set of formal license requirements was imposed to reflect the post-accident condition of the facility and to assure the continued maintenance of the current safe, stable, long-term cooling condition of the facility, 45 Fed. Reg. 11282 (February 20, 1980). These requirements were memorialized in the form of proposed Technical Specifications set forth in an attachment to the Order. Several requests for a hearing more filed in connection with the February 11, 1980 Order. By Memorandum and Order dated August 29, 1980 the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board admitted, among others, Dr. William Lochstet as an Intervenor, subject to his subsequently advancing at least one litigable contention. By a "Supplement to Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene" dated June 17, 1980, Dr. Lochstet submitted three proposed contentions to the Board. At the request of all parties, the Board deferred ruling on the proposed contentions of all of the Intervenors (including Dr. Lochstet) to allow opportunity to pursue settlement. These settlement efforts have already resulted in Dr. Lochstet's withdrawal of his proposed Contention 1 and have now, as discussed below, resulted in the withdrawal of Dr. Lochstet's remaining proposed contentions. The following revisions were approved by the Licensing Board in its "Order Granting Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation," April 9, 1981.

Dr. Lochstet's second contention concerns the Source and Intermediate Range Neutron Monitors (proposed Technical Specification 3.3.).1). His third contention concerns the possibility of leakage to the environment of the high level radioactive water in the reactor building. Consistent with the Commission's policy and regulations with respect to settlement of matters without resort to a formal adjudicatory process, the Licensee, the Staff and Dr. Lochstet have met in an effort to resolve the concerns

11.

-2-

in the above areas. To settle proposed Contention 2, the parties jointly propose to modify proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1. The proposed modification has been reviewed by the Staff and is consistent with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that the activities authorized can be conducted without undue risk to the public health and safety.

After the March 28, 1979 accident, one of the two source range neutron monitoring channels was inoperable. Accordingly, the requirement reflected in proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 originally required only the one working channel to be operable. In March 1981, the inoperable channel was restored to operable status. Proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 originally required only the one working channel to be operable but is now being modified to require both souce range neutron monitoring channels to be operable while fuel is in the reactor. This action enhances the capability for monitoring the reactivity status of the reactor and thereby increases safety.

Proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 is also modified to clarify the action requirements to be taken in the event that the one intermediate range neutron channel should become inoperable.

-3-

The Staff's assessment of this modification is set forth in the concurrently issued Safety Evaluation. This evaluation concluded, in material part, that the modification does not involve a significant hazards consideration and that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered thereby. This Amendment of Order will be effective thirty days from its date of issuance.

It was further determined that the Amendment of Order does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Accordingly, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4), an environmental impact statement or environmental impact appraisal and negative declaration need not be prepared herewith.

Resolution of Dr. Lochstet's third contention has been achieved on the basis of developments after the pleading of the contention. Those developments are enumerated in the "Stipulation Regarding Settlement of Lochstet Contentions" (March 14, 1983) and do not require amendment of the February 11, 1980 Order.

-4-

Accordingly, pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the requirements imposed by the Director's Order of February 11, 1980 are modified, effective thirty days from the date of issuance of this Amendment of Order, by revision of proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 in the manner described in Section II of this Order and as set forth especially in the attachments hereto.

For details with respect to this action see (1) "Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene," by William A. Lochstet, dated March 18, 1980, (2) "Supplement to Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene," by William A. Lochstet, dated June 17, 1980, and (3) Director's Order of February 11, 1980. All of the above documents are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Commission's Local Public Document Room at the State Library of Pennsylvania, Government Publications Section, Education Building, Commonwealth and Walnut Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17126.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Harder R. anton

Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Effective date: November 23, 1983 Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 24thday of October, 1983

24

III.

-5-

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.1 NEUTRON MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.1.1 As a minimum, the neutron monitoring instrumentation channels of Table 3.3-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: When fuel is in the reactor pressure vessel.

ACTION:

- a. With only one source range neutron monitoring channel OPERABLE, within 30 days either restore two source range channels to OPERABLE status or submit to the NRC, for its approval, a plan for restoring two source range channels to OPERABLE status.
- b. With no source range neutron monitoring channels OPERABLE, verify compliance with the boron concentration requirements of Specification 3.1.1.2 at least once per 24 hours by a mass balance calculation and at least once per 7 days by a chemical analysis and restore at least one source range channel to OPERABLE status within 7 days. If not restored to OPERABLE status within 7 days, promptly, but not later than 30 days from loss of OPERABLLITY, submit to the NRC, for its approval, a plan for restoring the inoperable channel(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. With no intermediate range neutron monitoring channels OPERABLE, restore at least one intermediate range channel to OPERABLE status within 7 days. If not restored to OPERABLE status within 7 days, promptly, but not later than 30 days from loss of OPERABILITY, submit to the NRC, for its approval, a plan for restoring at least one intermediate range channel to OPERABLE status.

3.3.2 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.2.1 The Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-3 shall be OPERABLE with their trip setpoints set consistent with the values shown in the Trip Setpoint column of Table 3.3-4.

APPLICABILITY: RECOVERY MODE.

ACTION:

- a. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel trip setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Values column of Table 3.3-4, declare the channel inoperable and apply the applicable ACTION requirement of Table 3.3-3 until the channel is restored to OPERABLE status with the trip setpoint adjusted consistent with the Trip Setpoint Value.
- b. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel inoperable, take the action shown in Table 3,3-3.

THREE MILE ISLAND - UNIT 2

TABLE 3.3-1

NEUTRON MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

THREE		NEUTR	ON MONITORING INST	MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE	
MILE ISL	FUNCTIONAL UNIT		TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS		CHANNELS TO TRIP
LAND -	١.	Intermediate Range, Neutron Flux and Rate	1	0	•
UNIT	2.	Source Range, Neutron Flux and Rate	2	0	2

TABLE 3.3-3

ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

3.3-2	FUNCTIONAL UNIT			Ш	TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS	CHANNELS TO TRIP	MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE	ACTION
	1.	LOSS	OF	POWER				
		a. 4.16 kv Emergency Bus Undervoltage (Loss of Voltage)						
			1.	Emergency Bus #2-1E and 2-2E	2/Bus	2/Bus	2/Bus	10
			2.	Emergency Rus #2-3E and 2-4E	2/8us	1/Bus	2/Bus	11

ACTION 10 -With the number of OPERABLE channels one less than the Total Number of Channels, place the inoperable channel in the tripped condition within 4 hours.

None except as provided in Specification 3.0.3. ACTION 11 -

N

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 50-320 THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

Introduction

GPU Nuclear Corporation, Metropolitan Edison Company, Jersey Central Power and Light Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (collectively, the Licensee) are the holders of Facility Operating License No. DPR-73, which had authorized operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (TMI-2) at power levels up to 2772 megawatts thermal. By Order for Modification of License, dated July 20, 1979, the Licensee's authority to operate the facility was suspended and the Licensee's authority was limited to maintenance of the facility in the present shutdown cooling mode (44 Fed. Reg. 45271, August 1, 1979). By further Order of the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated February 11, 1980, a new set of formal license requirements was imposed to reflect the post-accident condition of the facility and to assure the continued maintenance of the current safe, stable, long-term cooling condition of the facility (45 Fed. Reg. 11282, February 20, 1980). These requirements were memoralized in the form of proposed Technical Specifications set forth in an attachment to the Order.

Several requests for a hearing have been filed in connection with the Order and granted by the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board established to rule on such requests and to preside over any eventual hearings.

8311020492 831024

These parties have sought to introduce a number of issues involving the proposed Technical Specifications. One party expressed a concern dealing with the minimum number of source range neutron channels required to be operable (proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1) during the present shutdown (recovery) mode of operations. Consistent with the Commission's regulations which encourage settlement of potential issues in a proceeding (see 10 CFR §2.759), the staff has modified the proposed Technical Specification which is effective 30 days after the date of this amendment of order in a manner agreed upon by the parties to the stipulation and described hereafter.

Evaluation

The February 11, 1980 Order established, in the form of proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1, a requirement for a minimum of one source range neutron monitoring channel to be operable as long as fuel remained in the reactor. A single channel was specified since only one channel was operable; the second channel was inoperable having failed within the reactor building shortly after the March 28, 1979 accident.

With the core in its present subcritical configuration, only the source range neutron channels would read on scale. Since the intermediate range channels would come on scale only in the unlikely event that the reactor reaches an approximately critical condition, repair of the intermediate range channels is not of immediate concern. Thus restoration of the inoperable source range neutron monitoring channel to an operable status and developing procedures to assure continued operability were established as high priority tasks during the early reactor building re-entries. The inoperable channel was restored

-2-

to operable status in March 1981. This channel will be verified as being in operation within 30 days after the date of this amendment of order with subsequent surveillance requirements being performed as stated in section 4.3.1 of the Recovery Operations Plan. Therefore, we have revised proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 to require that both source range neutron monitoring channels be maintained in an operable condition as long as fuel remains in the reactor. This action, by imposing an additional control, enhances the capability for monitoring the reactivity status of the reactor and thereby provides for an enhancement of safety. Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 is also modified to clarify the action requirements to be taken in the event that the one intermediate range neutron channel is inoperable.

Environmental Considerations

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact, and pursuant to 10 CFR Section 51.5 (d)(4), that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

-3-

Conclusion

As discussed above, the amendment to proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 augments the requirements established in the Director's February 11, 1980, Order. Therefore, we have concluded that: (1) the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered, does not involve a significant increase in the possibility of an accident or malfunction of a different type than evaluated previously and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin and does not, therefore, involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the modified manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

-4-

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges

John F. Wolf, Chairman Oscar H. Paris Frederick J. Shon

In the Matter of

Docket No. 50-320 OLA

3

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, ET AL.

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2)

March 14, 1983

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF LOCHSTET CONTENTIONS

A "Stipulation Regarding Settlement of Lochstet Contentions" was entered into by Counsel for NRC Staff, Counsel for Licensee and William A. Lochstet and filed with this Board. A copy of said stipulation is attached hereto. In addition, those parties filed a Joint Notion to Approve the Stipulation, a copy of which is attached hereto.

For good cause shown the Joint Notion to Approve Stipulation is granted and it is ORDERED that:

 The Stipulation Regarding Settlement of Lochstet Contentions is approved;

830 2170503

831155

 Dr. Lochstet, Intervenor, is dismissed from the proceeding; and

3. Proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 set forth in an attachment to the February 11, 1980 Order issued by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, shall be modified in accordance with the revisions specified in the proposed Amendment of Order upon entry of that Amendment of Order. A copy of said amendment is attached hereto.

A formal amendment incorporating the Specifications as amended will await the outcome of the present proceedings.

.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

John F. Wolf, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Oscar H. Paris

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Frederick

Dated at Bethesda, Haryland this 14th day of Harch, 1983

. .

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, <u>ET AL</u>. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2)

Docket No. 50-320 OLA

STIPULATION REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF LOCHSTET CONTENTIONS

For the purpose of resolving the remaining contentions advanced by Dr. William Lochstet relative to the captioned proceeding, General Public Utilities Nuclear Corporation, Metropolitan Edison Company, Jersey Central Fower and Light Company, and Fennsylvania Electric Company (collectively, the Licensee), William A. Lochstet and the Staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC Staff) hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. In consideration of NRC modification of proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 in accordance with the attached proposed Amendment of Order, Dr. Lochstet agrees to withdraw Contention 2 advanced in his pleading entitled, "Supplement to Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene," dated June 17, 1980.

2. In light of the following considerations, Dr. Lochstet agrees to withdraw Contention 3 advanced in his pleading entitled, "Supplement to

8207420055

Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene," dated June 17, 1980:

(a) The NRC Staff has evaluated the consequences in the event of a leakage of sump water from the reactor building in the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) issued in March 1981 and has determined that such leakage as might occur would not pose a threat to the health and safety of the local population. PEIS Appendix V, p. V.1.

(b) Monitoring wells have been placed around the reactor building which would indicate radioactivity in the ground water long before it would reach the river, thereby allowing corrective measures to be taken. Memorandum for the Commissioners from Harold Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated April 8, 1980. SECY-80-181.

(c) A sump water contingency plan was developed for removal of the sump water from the reactor building in the event that substantial leakage developed. Letter from R. C. Arnold to Harold Denton (TLL-541) dated November 4, 1980.

(d) A submerged demineralizer system (SDS) has been installed and all of the radioactive water in the reactor building has been processed through it, with the exception of (1) a few inches of water that will remain on the reactor building floor when the surface suction pump looses suction and (2) the relatively small amount of water in the containment sump. As a result of the removal of the water from the reactor building, the potential for leakage due to static head has been eliminated. See Weekly Status Reports from Lake Earrett to Marold Denton, September 21, 1981 to March 1, 1982.

- 2 -

(e) The reactor building water has also been polished in the EPICOR II system, after treatment in the SDS. Id.

3. Dr. Lochstet hereby withdraws his "Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene," dated March 18, 1980, and consents to dismissal from the proceeding.

4. This Agreement is contingent upon the grant of the "Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation," which accompanies this Stipulation, by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

.

maked & Elikan

Michael N. Wilcove Counsel for NRC Staff

William a. Lochster

William A. Lochstet

for Licensee

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, <u>ET AL</u>. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2)

Docket No. 50-320 OLA

JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION

For the purpose of resolving the remaining issues advanced by Intervenor William Lochstet relative to the captioned proceeding, the Licensee, Dr. William Lochstet, and the Staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC Staff) have entered into the attached "Stipulation Regarding Settlement of Lochstet Contentions." Pursuant to the provisions of this Stipulation, the parties thereto hereby move the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) to enter an Order taking the following action:

1. Approving the subject Stipulation;

2. Dismissing Dr. Lochstet from the proceeding;

3. Modifying proposed Technical Specification 3.3.1.1 set forth in an attachment to the February 11, 1980 Order issued by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation in accordance with the revisions set forth in the proposed Amendment of Order attached to the subject Stipulation, upon entry of that Amendment of Order.

This Motion does not affect the proposed contentions of Intervenor Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power.

820720052

A proposed Order granting the instant motion is provided for the Board's consideration.

2 5

Respectfully submitted,

"muchant on thistory

Michael N. Wilcove Counsel for NRC Staff

William a. Inhatt

William A. Lochstet

1

.....

miliby Trowbridge Couns for Licensee